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ECONOMIC BELIEF STRUCTURE
“Causal Relation”

the purchasing power of Caused by A) other
     B) nothing
     C) itself

corrects for inflation
     across years,
     but do we want another choice?

What is the purchasing power of cause?
Uses of freedom, and cause or reason?
     social indicators
     reasons perhaps
     another story
     of inflation…
“Uses of Freedom in The Republic”

‘freedom’ (1) is fairly typical and means something like “when you are old ‘the passions relax their hold’.

second instance of ‘freedom’

various harmonies
one harmony for war
Dorian harmony should be used by the brave man
Phrygian harmony should be used by that man for times of “peace and freedom of action” (Book 3).
3.

“Classical…”

liberty to move
possibility to say
yes or no
or combination
or betrayal

you do the math
4.

“Expected Inflation”

rate of growth in money supply increased
= increase in the inflation rate;
a law?
somebody said, but somebody said.
Like I said before
Equal = said.
5.

“Course Catalog”

no questions containing that word
and no answers to those questions.
   Pens in the air “commodity”
Remember “all that is solid…”

return to other similar questions.

(CLASS: 31A Economic Integrative Meme Workshop)

diagram. Sketch. 'sketch'.
First thing then word
Action then Capitalize the Word
6.

“Nomination”

desired quantity demanded

technical jargon Other Margins
now she responds Hit me with a single
Now hit me with a worker’s word”
and professor, can you?

nominal money = with the interest rate factored in
“Community Possible Doubt”

pluralism always grounded in agreement.

To consider and not know -
standing up to the text = Non-violence = agnostic . .

do not find it easy to investigate
ground
hinge
appellation
(God given name) did he really, now?

contemplates himself in his dialectical relationship
did I say “exact relation”? exit relations
situations are perfectible As in the end

your surrogate (general will, original position) cannot
violate your individual will, but perhaps looking
or the Rename and surrogate may violate your particular

man, of interaction with earth and thus his own soil
and thus the dialect changes my my thesis antithesis
reading this book Synthesis now virtual serial processing

Mature capitalism - mainly replacements bought,
Not new now what about Not News? Not necessarily
Do you say now, advertisement is some synthesis

Wish I God-named it better;
These twin themes of mortality and perceptibility
Let us say action and ability to do News

Let us say there are many conflicting claims
How sort the mail? Some agreement lays down stone
For all of us bedload to wash over.
“Deontological…”

Duty-based ethics Distinct and Assignment
Of chores and then ask “does it appear individualistic?”
might look, but do not leave out reason — takes care of subjective
say: “With your perfect reasoning abilities find the moral laws
(which have the same force as natural laws)
and always find yourself to be an instantiation of one of these laws.
Use them to predict and control your behavior
in a way which is similar to the prediction and control
of the natural world using natural laws.”

And find yourself Said Equal Instance
This subjective is too erratic for an entire system of ethics.
9.

“Converse() Authority”

as you said ——————————— “community, say you are”
as you were —————————— “Entering into a social contract”

(each with a role to play)

but neither were without authority
“Cannot Find X in Individual”

against the classical liberal’s position. Possibilities unrealizable
like profits that will never be known b/c of a drought or like Prophets the same

against all of this these twin heads Economics and Religion
I have chosen these to show (lacunae) thank you for your time

You’ll see distinct individual claims (remember dependent) and then god
Self-existent — you’ll see that poems may only do the odd things

Nothing new, “But every company in a capitalist system wants to decrease costs
and the only effective way to do this (after labor has been divided into atoms) is to invest in
technology, which means that the productive process becomes more capital intensive.”

How about a church — secret dimension — red-bud sprouting from ground
You see, I’ve chosen these things of sociological import because Fetishism of Commodity

Graven Idol; Natural Theology Natural Capitalism
On page 28 Marx writes “Capital is therefore not a personal, but a social power.”

The classical liberal is therefore a mystic — personal relation with God —
personal relationship with capital the Social and if all relations were personal

Possibilities unrealizable
“Stage Set Dialogue”

Plato’s Meno again

check my mail
check stage settings
check the directions

your eyes creating the world

or should I say, cheesy but true?
“Line Spirit Tell Religion”

the missing being taught
between logics between even the stutter
the missing being memory
memory being song

she talked a lot about spirituality and praying
“Language Poetry Circuit”

that poet is so rad!
Language pulls
Pulls apart language pulls
Lisps spelling errors, other deficiency,
Other flower bud now budd…
The stutter…now whisper love…
Now pull apart the plots

is there a book of poetry you think it would be good to get her?

that is my plan now
so after food and econ.
so after markets and circuits [C-Ct]
    [C-M-Ct] (let’s not go any further)
        (let’s not go any further
         then selling to buy
         still attached to consumption)

“God in the age of profits” — name for a book (PN3889.089)
“Prophets in the age of capital” — name for a section or barnes and noble
money [exchange value = use value]
capital exchanged to make more of itself

go forth and multiply

exchange finally seen as how
[address the problem of usury]
“Rent, Where You”

commutation from the serfs. When
does it become rent? That’s the freedom
when they kick you off - when’s the kick off?
   English lords lose
their extra economic power over the serfs.
Now Abstract Land Abstract Labor

Capitalism is the equivalent of religious desert

Now they say desert deserve and that’s justification

   “and you will play by them!”
those rules, that is,
The new relationship becomes entrenched.
Now — access denied — insert your card at the beep
Now — capital’s structural advantage —
“Soil”

...structure of belief
action is explanation value

below (ABC soil)
microbes sweeping house
rotting away structure of religion
rotting away state structure - neoliberalism

= control of the market for capitalists

close of the market for labor =
    social democracy

horizons falling into each other
income levels too - squeeze of the middle
creates “Us” income no longer being a distraction

how was your flight?
before I have to get back into class -
‗into‘ I mean ‗exaggerated‘
“\Ontology\”

on a priori grounds —
  essence sticking before you were born
what can you say before then? (contingent a priori “I exist”)

how about like “believes that”, “conceives of”
  can change the truth value
what about God? Hyperintensional delusion

  no, that doesn’t say it all about God

but sophisticated to say so being greater
  than a being than which no greater can be conceived.
“Almost”

For where fallen
take the form: (e.g. ‘necessarily’, ‘possibly’
  it is necessary that P = it is not possible
that it is not the case that P
  therefore now therefore
do some things beings events eventualities have necessary existence
  question that does is whether
  existence does work as predicating work as quality
  or does that ‘is’ signify relation
between subject and quality (red, red is the only quality that I can think
  of)
of is another quality
a relation part to whole and study now study my son study
Mereological take the form: 1:3 or part : whole
  an analogy
Mereology - study of the analogy
thank you for your spatial grace and now now lecture me
or I shall have lectures in wanting space and they said
that one of the great things to do in experimental poetry
is to add some dialogue, “yes”
  “she said”.
"Notes on the Philosophy of Religion"

of course, he means more
than just stated
just what I stated
that God underwrites all meaning
of cursing rivers, he means more
and something like Hinge proposition
in our life (what, we have one life?)
not at all, now at all, what a difference
in the world in Theology becomes the study of semantics
what could a word like faith?
what could a girl like you?
what could the shape be without a limit?
you provide the bowl.
In flight,
but man dwells “...”
replace your answer with another said
any other said; plane, I’m on a place
like that
that said, there is more said
than in all of your fullawfuls
    that is, what it might mean to fly
and to be in the exit row
without a book
merely fear, but as Hölderlin has said, man dwells
(fill in your ending).
“Knowledge String”

right opinion right opinion right opinion
birds flying out
capture me knowledge to stay bound
bound feet to earth
bound knowledge to ideal.
fastened by a rope
fastened by a now
(experience tow)
tow me through heaven
a place for it
in the ideal.
“The Posture of God’s Impossible Absence”

God is always a trembling abandonment of man
Which leaves the problem of Hölderlin open
For discussion in this paper, he is a problem
Because he exists, not the way I do, of course,
He didn’t really exist, he is just there saying his
Absence again to the choir and making awake
My alarms do speak such words as “I am not here”
“Negative Theology and Semantics”

god is a limit of language

what does this mean?

Possibly, that god underwrites meaning =

a theological theory of meaning

( insufficient for descriptive language,

however, adequate for latent poetics

)

howsoever;

‘god exists’ is not a knowledge claim -

Plato - a ‘right opinion’

Wittgenstein - a

’hinge proposition’ (belief in God is necessarily affective)

Wittgenstein’s remark that the Tractatus

was an ethical work

consisting of what was not there

leaves one with a negative theology

(i.e. god unapproachable

with descriptive language)

Conclusion, therefore God is accessible

only with poetic language

(Heidegger) -

conclusion: man’s most important role is

the poetic.
limited mind limited mind limited meter
for understanding infinite

if he is a possible being
then he is a necessary being
trick of the hand
built right into syllogism
have you tried to
describe anything else
with existence, you sound comedic
else stupid philosophy

or does
what is in
limited mind limited meter limited mind
predicate what is outside or God, say,
or else does predication only work
from the world in to the mind,

say, have I used the terminology
from the correct, now standard,
now teacher speaking for community,
say, have I, they allow
my trick of the sleeve pulled
out and now God must, must he.
“The Principle of Sufficient Reason”

Affirm me, else stay inside - read the last section
the one where she gets really weird and metaphysical
and starts asking all these things that we cannot
say question about, however she does and stands up
or forward and bends, say to the side, or in front
and says “Invoke”, say in breath, say it with a little
but not too little, too little is insufficient
and I am looking for a necessary and sufficient blow
to the head to know a proposition like “I exist”
with all certainty you are wondering where the pointer
is in all of this and with all certainty I have been
asking why a point and why something to point with
and I am saying this anthropological point that humans
make points don’t they, yes, yes we do and we ask for
reasons if we’re lucky at least, if we are not philosophers.
“Shift Speak”

transitions of statement
as long as there is a line between
referential narrative lyric
and
non-referential language poetries;
We were asking for the controlled experiment
within the lyric.

Ask said asked you Yes I do travel between forms of life -
No, the interesting thing is that forms of life
are all the same at the base
pinnacle may differ

tallest building theory
(World Trade Towers? Church? Palace?)
poem is always pointing better between
called ‘higher’
what we value is expressed in our tallest building
what values us?

I was asking
At the corner
When suddenly
Craig said to read the part
of Rilke’s letters
where he says to live questions as answers
(I am not quoting)
but, what would it mean if I did?

said asked ask say said asked

past tense question
translated
into present tense assertion

I often ask questions by stating a claim;
tense transition from speed to locality.
If P and Q then R
Indirect = not R therefore
Not (‘P’ and ‘Q’)
Which one is decided
Is an intuition...Moore’s Shift —
Doesn’t care about the grammar
Of ‘know’ maybe he feels
Possible mistakes in the way
You view the world, let’s not
Save them as mistakes,
Let’s say ‘unhinged’
If they turn out false.
“Mystical Experience”

How would you happen, mystic or delusion
Asking what you would say to justify
Why you look so thin when you see God,
That's not a ground that's another day
You were pointing down, but you meant
For me to keep digging and I was tired
Of trying to sweat when I knew
That these fingers could feel this culture's.
Civil society
   particular interests conflict
      (subjective)
state is something higher
   akin to the spirit
      (objective)
when subjective self-interest
   aligns with the objective reality of the state,
this is the apex - but it's at the bottom of the poem.
“Deontological Theories”

. Bridging this gap .
  followed fold
  and important things which a political philosopher must do
  between 3rd and 1st persons
  and between
    now, you can’t base an ethical theory
    off of subjective interests, can you?
meaning as theological
  that is, underwritten,
     by God,
the very possibility of semantics
  (in general, not in specific
   instance)
instance instance ask not whether
a thing exists or not,
  but rather
     ask whether
a certain concept is instantiated
  or not;
transformation from the material mode
to the formal mode.
To the truth or falsity of propositions
Use a table - whether it is underwritten by God,
Or ask, what is under the table?
“Procedure”

good in their procedure
not only in outcome;
pure procedural justice
walks away and we have
only these juries
that look like Jerry Springer
and thus we may blame
it all on poor people.
modern critical detached eye
only way all religions may be
okay; sign of the times; sign
of declining interest;
interest rates fall
inflation rises
wages up; critical of it all;
and yet, value?
these bumps are only signs;
wavers of doubt.
“Riff”

both aid and hinder
riff
divine luck
separation of private and public
necessary for capitalism

transitional times
all anyone agrees upon
is that a free market
is not a good idea -
the question becomes
who is the market controlled for?

lower socio-economic class
tend to be more emotional.
Hey, I quietly, come of age within facts,
just the facts of our ignorant ancestors,
such a child’s view comes of age within
these words of ignorance, or thinking
that not your equals ignorance, of thinking thus,
I still come of age, and quietly slip drinks
in the park with true age but no value.
“Value”

Worth what again, say now,
what was your best dream worth;
do not put it in the mind:
(Husserl criticized Descartes
for placing the ego in the mind -
it brought in all of psychology
to a place where we could not
wait.) Worth what? Intentionality
of an intentionality, desire of a desire
and belief of a belief, allows for
language, but also separates,
the great worth is there in the
asking meaning welling up between men.
“Food Critic”

taste ground same with reason
taste but not too deep taste
walk and mingle but do not dig
past your language, remember
this ox-house is the shame
and the glory forever and
ohh yes, then it changes.
“Freud and Religion”

Freud’s “Future of an Illusion”
on some table somewhere -
the thing about Illusions is that
they well up from transforming human wishes -
the thing is
you would hold tight
without rational grounds -
do you ask for grounds?
if no,
makes them like Wittgenstein’s “hinge propositions”
perhaps Plato’s “right opinion”
unchanged,
but I said transforming, the thing about human wishes
is that they are actualized
as transform the environment
they transform the nature of self
the nature of next wish ...
let’s say they are lenses
that change
the person
one of the rules of mysticism
is that the mystical exp.
changes the mystic...
play by those
play by those hinged wishes
don’t think
don’t prove
look at an action
change the exclamation
in a single sentence.
“Zen Lecture Notationing”

do you know what it means
to know that you want to be here?
how do you understand these words
when you have never done them before?

[if you want to know what X means
  look at what \(-X\) means]

setting evaporation
model of transformation
welling up with nuts
and bolts of the embeddedness
of belief. Say “evaporation” quickly
change and now entropy
which must say “I am
an equality of matter”

  radical acceptance
  weaved within, not
  propositionally,
  but within these
  separate but within
  these pieces of equality
  of society but within
  these pieces and their
  natural expressions.

[Religious withdrawal from life = coitus interruptus,
  so she wouldn't get pregnant]

  backlight of religion:
  what institutions must
  be in place
  for that notion
  of the self
  to force itself
  upon
  the eyes
  of every
  believing
  participant?

shadow
of a distinct
and plausible (to them) religious belief upon the specific relationship of each to the surplus product.

Dark night of the soul;
Dark rebirth of the soul - not a happy ending but an indefinite relationship to the future which, in order for the soul not to whither, must progress.

[Other methods of interventions must be used in addition to the divine]

but, there might always be circumstances which the observer is not privy to.

How react example Contrast thesis to How we live. Investigate antithesis By investigating What life would be like If it were true.

Do you know what it means to be whether or not you want it? The word in its sentences; the life in its beliefs.
leap of birds
followed finger
along chalk hours
along wartime thought
shaman spoken unedited
mistaken word now
and again(st) your
logical investigations -
how about examples
how about tying the birds
to a contingent being
that which transcendental
experience appears within
vague appearance/reality distinction.

[why is it
history flowers
as narrow
definition
of a rose?
why is it
this structure
forces itself
along these
folding lines?]

project than which
none speaks before -
this way of thinking
is a machine whose
on/off switch
must be investigated
not logically
but through metaphor

across jumps in consciousness
there is expectation,
an internal relationship
between the expectation and
that which is expected,
this internal relation (to speak
of one is to speak of the other)
is how this world, our world
is bound together
changes in constitution
constitute changes
the view of constitution,
break of knowledge
dim of dusk
trust of love
presupposition to ask
even the simplest.
“Place Proposition Place”

What is near
And hard
I mean hard as a stone
I mean near as your mouth
I mean God again.
Meaning God. Can it be done?
However absent However believers
do not go around saying “God exists”.
However you may have come here
Philosophy Circumlocute =
    Really just a physics
    Of implications
But remember, I mean, I mean again,
I keep meaning God, remember, near and
Hard, I grasp a stone
In
My mouth.
I grasp water
with a cup.
I grasp God
With Faith? Reason?
Now question what sort of statement ‘God exists’ is,
Regardless of the fact
That nobody says it.